

IFW 3625



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 020431.0953

In re Application of:

**MANOEL TENORIO**

Serial No. 10/032,945

Filed: 27 SEPTEMBER 2001

For: **DYNAMIC DATABASE REDIRECTION  
USING SEMANTIC TAXONOMY  
INFORMATION**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Examiner:

**MILA AIRAPETIAN**

Art Unit: 3625

Confirmation No.: 7105

**TRANSMITTAL**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir/Madam:

Please file the following enclosed documents in the subject application:

1. This Transmittal with Certificate of Mailing;
2. Statement of the Substance of the Interview, in response to the Interview Summary Form dated 30 November 2006; and
3. Our return postcard which we would appreciate you date stamping and returning to us.


<b>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)</b>
Date of Deposit: <u>12/26/06</u>
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient postage under 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a) on the date indicated above and is addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.
By: <u>[Signature]</u>

Although the Applicant believes no fees are deemed to be necessary; the undersigned hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayments, to **Deposit Account No. 500777**. If an extension of time is necessary for allowing this Statement to be timely filed, this document is to be construed as also constituting a Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) to the extent necessary. Any fee required for such Petition for Extension of Time should be charged to **Deposit Account No. 500777**.

**Please link this application to Customer No. 53184 so that its status may be checked via the PAIR System.**

Respectfully submitted,

12/26/06  
Date

  
James E. Walton, Registration No. 47,245  
Steven J. Laureanti, Registration No. 50,274  
Daren C. Davis, Registration No. 38,425  
Michael Alford, Registration No. 48,707  
Law Offices of James E. Walton, P.L.L.C.  
1169 N. Burleson Blvd., Suite 107-328  
Burleson, Texas 76028  
(817) 447-9955 (voice)  
(817) 447-9954 (fax)  
steve@waltonpllc.com (e-mail)

**CUSTOMER NO. 53184**

ATTORNEYS AND AGENTS FOR APPLICANT



~~2020/11/11~~ Application of:

ဘာသာရေးအဖွဲ့အစည်းများ၏ အကျိုးအမြတ်များကို အသုံးပြုရန် အသုံးပြုရန်

Examiner:

**MILA AIRAPETIAN**

**Art Unit: 3625**

**Confirmation No.: 7105**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

An Interview Summary Form in the subject Application was mailed to the undersigned on 30 November 2006, which provides for a response period ending 30 December 2006. This Statement of the Substance of the Interview is being filed prior to the ending of the response period 30 December 2006.

Date of Deposit: 12/26/06

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient postage under 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a) on the date indicated above and is addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

By: D. Lee / J. Hunt

**REMARKS:**

An Interview Summary Form in the subject Application was mailed to the undersigned, along with a Notice of Allowance, on 30 November 2006. The Interview Summary form was mailed in response to a telephonic interview, conducted on 26 October 2006, between the undersigned, Steven J. Laureanti and Examiner Mila Airapetian.

The Interview Summary Form indicates that the substance of the telephonic interview must be included in the formal written reply to the last Office Action. (See MPEP Section 713.04). The Interview Summary Form further indicates that if a reply to the last Office Action has already been filed, Applicant is given one month from this interview date, or the mailing date of this Interview Summary Forms (30 November 2006), whichever is later, to file a Statement of the Substance of the Interview.

In response, the Applicant is respectfully submitting this statement of the substance of the telephonic interview, conducted on 26 October 2006 between the undersigned, Mr. Laureanti and Examiner Airapetian.

**Telephonic Interview 26 October 2006**

Examiner Airapetian telephoned the undersigned, Mr. Laureanti, on 26 October 2006 to conduct a telephone interview on the subject Application. During the telephone interview on 26 October 2006, Examiner Airapetian proposed minor changes to independent Claims 1, 10, 21, and 32 to further clarify Applicant's claimed invention. Examiner Airapetian suggested using an Examiner's Amendment advance prosecution of the subject Application and place the subject Application in condition for Allowance.

The Applicant respectfully submits that although the Applicant believes independent Claims 1, 10, 21, and 32 are directed to patentable subject matter, and in condition for allowance without amendment. The Applicant agreed to allow the Examiner to amend independent Claims 1, 10, 21, and 32, in an effort to expedite prosecution of the subject Application and to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

the Applicant regards as the invention. In addition, the Applicant agreed to allow the Examiner to amend dependent Claims 6 and 9 in an effort to expedite prosecution of this Application and to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention and amend dependent Claims 12 and 23 to correct certain antecedent basis issues.

By making these amendments, the Applicant makes no admission concerning the merits of the Examiner's rejections or objections of the previous Office Actions, and respectfully reserves the right to address any statement or averment of the Examiner not specifically addressed in this Statement of the Substance of the Interview. Particularly, the Applicant reserves the right to pursue broader claims in this Application or through a continuation patent application. No new matter has been added by the Examiner's amendment.

In addition, the Applicant respectfully notes that the Examiner's Interview Summary Form does not expressly state that the Examiner's Amendment included amendments to dependent Claims 6, 9, 12, and 23, in addition to the amendments to independent Claims 1, 10, 21, and 32. However, attached to the interview Summary Form are twelve (12) pages showing the amendments to dependent Claims 6, 9, 12, and 23.

#### **Telephonic Call to Examiner on 4 December 2006**

In light of the above-discussed deficiencies in the Examiner's Interview Summary Form, the undersigned, Mr. Laureanti, telephoned Examiner Airapetian on 4 December 2006 to discuss these deficiencies.

During the telephone conference on 4 December 2006, Examiner Airapetian suggested filing an Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 to ensure that the Examiner's Amendment to independent Claims 1, 10, 21, and 32 and dependent Claims 6, 9, 12, and 23 are corrected according to the telephonic interview, conducted on 26 October 2006 between the undersigned, Mr. Laureanti and Examiner Airapetian. In response the

Applicant agreed to file an Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312, to correct the above-discussed deficiencies in the Examiner's Amendment.

### **Applicant's Claims are Patentable over the Prior Art of Record**

The Applicant respectfully submits that independent Claims 1, 10, 21, and 32 are considered patentably distinguishable over the proposed combination of *Jammes*, *Giovannoli*, and *Etten*. With respect to dependent Claims 2-9, 11-20, and 22-31: Claims 2-9 depend from independent Claim 1; Claims 11-20 depend from independent Claim 10; and Claims 22-31 depend from independent Claim 21. Thus, Claims 1-32 are not rendered obvious by the proposed combination of *Jammes*, *Giovannoli*, and *Etten* and are in condition for allowance.

In addition, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner call the undersigned, Mr. Laureanti at (817) 447-9955, if the Examiner has additional comments or suggestions on this Statement of the Substance of the Interview or if the Examiner believes it would be easier to discuss the Statement of the Substance of the Interview over the telephone.

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for proposing the Examiner's Amendment and the Notice of Allowance mailed on 30 November 2006. The Applicant is submitting this Statement of the Substance of the Interview prior to the ending of the response period of 30 December 2006.


**CONCLUSION:**

Although the Applicant believes no fees are deemed to be necessary; the undersigned hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayments, to **Deposit Account No. 500777**.

**Please link this application to Customer No. 53184 so that its status may be checked via the PAIR System.**

Respectfully submitted,

12/26/06  
Date

  
James E. Walton, Registration No. 47,245  
Steven J. Laureanti, Registration No. 50,274  
Daren C. Davis, Registration No. 38,425  
Michael Alford, Registration No. 48,707  
Law Offices of James E. Walton, P.L.L.C.  
1169 N. Burleson Blvd., Suite 107-328  
Burleson, Texas 76028  
(817) 447-9955 (voice)  
(817) 447-9954 (facsimile)  
steven@waltonpllc.com (e-mail)

**CUSTOMER NO. 53184**

**ATTORNEYS AND AGENTS FOR APPLICANT**